

# Constructing projective varieties in weighted flag varieties II

Muhammad Imran Qureshi

## Abstract

We give the construction of weighted Lagrangian Grassmannians  $w\text{LGr}(3, 6)$  and weighted partial  $A_3$  flag variety  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$  coming from the symplectic Lie group  $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$  and the general linear group  $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$  respectively. We give general formulas for their Hilbert series in terms of Lie theoretic data. We use them as key varieties (Format) to construct some families of polarized 3-folds in codimension 7 and 9. At the end, we list all the distinct weighted flag varieties in codimension  $4 \leq c \leq 10$ .

## 1 Introduction

This article is a sequel of [17]. In that paper, we proved a general formula for the Hilbert series of weighted flag varieties and discussed a computer aided method to find their defining equations. We also applied them to construct families of projective varieties as quasilinear sections of certain weighted flag varieties in codimensions 8 and 6. In [17], we used a weighted  $G_2$  variety as a key variety (ambient weighted projective variety) to construct families of polarized varieties in codimension eight and weighted Grassmannian  $w\text{Gr}(2, 6)$  for the construction of varieties in codimension six.

In this paper, we explicitly discuss the construction of two new weighted flag varieties  $(w\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{w\Sigma}(1))$ . The first one is the weighted Lagrangian Grassmannian  $w\text{LGr}(3, 6)$ ; a homogeneous variety for the symplectic Lie group  $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$ . The second one is the weighted partial flag variety  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ ; a partial flag variety for the general linear group  $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$ . The  $w\text{LGr}(3, 6)$  is a six dimensional variety and has an embedding in weighted projective space  $w\mathbb{P}^{13}$ : a codimension seven embedding. The weighted partial flag variety  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$  is a five dimensional variety and has an embedding in  $w\mathbb{P}^{14}$ : a codimension nine embedding.

We use these key varieties to exhibit some potentially new families of Calabi–Yau 3-folds in codimension seven and nine as weighted complete intersections of these varieties. The constructed Calabi–Yau 3-folds  $X$  have canonical singularities which can be resolved by crepant resolutions  $Y \rightarrow X$  using standard theory [19]. The desingularization  $Y$  may lead to new examples of Calabi–Yau 3-folds but since we do not compute the topological invariants such as Betti and Hodge numbers, we have no evidence.

The explicit constructions of  $w \text{ LGr}(3, 6)$  and  $w \text{ FL}_{1,3}$  will be worked out by computing their corresponding graded ring structures in terms of generators and relations as well as using the Lie theoretic data of the associated Lie groups. Then we use their graded rings and Hilbert series to construct some families of polarized varieties as weighted completed intersections inside them. The graded rings of the constructed polarized varieties are induced from the graded rings of the ambient key varieties  $w \text{ LGr}(3, 6)$  and  $w \text{ FL}_{1,3}$ .

We construct projective varieties  $(X, D)$  polarized by  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ample Weil divisor (i.e.  $nD$  is a Cartier divisor)  $D$  with finitely generated graded ring

$$R(X, D) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, nD).$$

The embedding

$$i : X = \text{Proj } R(X, D) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}[w_0, \dots, w_n]$$

is provided by surjective morphism

$$\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow R(X, D)$$

from a free graded ring  $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . The graded ring  $S$  is generated by variables  $x_i$  of weights  $w_i$ . The divisorial sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  of  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ .

In the past, such examples have been computed in [12, 2], where the cases of codimension at most 3 are discussed. The codimension 4 case was initially discussed in [1] and studied recently more rigorously in [5]; see Corti and Reid [8] for examples in codimension 5 and [17, 18] for examples in codimension 6 and 8.

We construct examples in codimensions 7 and 9 by taking quasilinear sections of weighted flag varieties  $(w\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{w\Sigma}(1))$  embedded in weighted projective space  $w\mathbb{P}V_\lambda$  by their natural Plücker-type embeddings. We compute the Hilbert series of a given weighted flag variety to find the canonical divisor class of  $w\Sigma$ . Then we take quasilinear sections (general hypersurfaces of the appropriate degree in weighted projective space) of  $w\Sigma$  or of projective cone(s) over it to get a variety with the desired canonical or anticanonical class. We need the defining equations of flag varieties to understand the nature of singularities. The defining ideals of flag varieties are given in [11, Sec 1]. We work out the equations by following the algorithmic approach of DeGraaf [9], coded in computer algebra system GAP4 [17, Appendix A].

We fix the notations and give the necessary definitions in Section 2. We also provide a quick review of the weighted flag varieties, the formula for their Hilbert series  $P_{w\Sigma}$ , the defining ideals of flag varieties, and the general method of constructing families of polarized varieties as quasi-linear sections of  $w\Sigma$ . In Section 3, we study weighted flag varieties associated to the symplectic Lie group  $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$ , leading to the codimension seven varieties. The case of weighted partial flag variety  $w \text{ FL}_{1,3}$  in codimension nine is discussed in Section 4. In Section 5, we give the list of all possible distinct flag varieties, which have embeddings in codimension  $c$ , for  $4 \leq c \leq 10$ .

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Balázs Szendrői for helpful discussions. This research was partially supported by a grant of the Higher Education Commission (HEC) of Pakistan. I am also thankful to the International Centre of Theoretical Physics, Italy for their hospitality during the write up of this article.

## 2 Definitions and conventions

We work over a field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. A pair  $(X, D)$ , where  $X$  is a normal projective algebraic variety and  $D$  is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor on  $X$  is called polarized variety. All our varieties appear as projective subvarieties of some weighted projective space.

The standard notation  $\mathbb{P}[w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n]$  denotes the weighted projective space; sometimes we will write  $w\mathbb{P}$  if no confusion can arise. The weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n[w_i]$  is called well-formed, if no  $n-1$  of  $w_0, \dots, w_n$  have a common factor.

A polarized variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n[w_i]$  of codimension  $c$  is called well-formed, if  $\mathbb{P}^n[w_i]$  is well-formed and  $X$  does not contain a codimension  $c+1$  singular stratum of  $\mathbb{P}[w_i]$ .  $X$  is called quasi-smooth if the affine cone  $\tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$  of  $X$  is smooth outside its vertex  $\underline{0}$ . If  $X$  is quasi-smooth, then it will only have quotient singularities induced by the singularities of  $\mathbb{P}[w_i]$ . We assume that polarization is provided by the restriction of the tautological ample divisor  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ .

The Hilbert series of a polarized projective variety  $(X, D)$  is

$$P_{(X,D)}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim H^0(X, nD) t^n.$$

We will sometimes write  $P_X(t)$  if no confusion can arise. Appropriate Riemann–Roch formulas, together with vanishing, can be used to compute  $h^0(X, nD) = \dim H^0(X, nD)$  in favourable cases.

A polarized Calabi–Yau 3-fold  $(X, D)$  is a Gorenstein, normal, projective three dimensional algebraic variety with  $K_X \sim 0$  and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . We allow  $(X, D)$  to have at worst canonical quotient singularities, consisting of points and curves on  $X$ .

Let  $G$  be a reductive Lie group with its Lie algebra being denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We denote by  $B$  a Borel subgroup of  $G$ , by  $P$  a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ , and by  $T$  a maximal torus, such that  $T \subset B \subseteq P \subset G$  and  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  denote the corresponding Lie algebras inclusions.

Let  $\Lambda_W = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$  be the weight lattice and  $V_\lambda$  denote the  $G$ -representation with highest weight  $\lambda$  where  $\nabla(V)$  represents the set of weights of the representation  $V_\lambda$ . The quotient  $\Sigma = G/P$  of the Lie group  $G$  by a parabolic subgroup  $P$  is called (generalized or partial) flag variety; if  $P = B$  then we call  $\Sigma$  a complete flag variety. The flag varieties  $\Sigma = G/P_\lambda$  are projective subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}V_\lambda$ , where  $P = P_\lambda$  is the parabolic corresponding to the set of simple roots  $\nabla_0$  of  $G$  orthogonal to the weight vector  $\lambda$ .

For example, if  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $B$  is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. If  $P$  is the parabolic subgroup containing  $B$ , then the quotient

$$\Sigma = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})/P = \{0 \subset V_i \subset V_m \subset \cdots \subset V_n\}$$

is a (partial or generalized) flag variety, with  $P$  being the stabilizer of a fixed partial flag with dimension vector  $I = (i, m, \dots, n)$ . Whereas for the dimension vector  $I = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ , we have  $P = B$  and the quotient  $\Sigma = G/B$  is a complete flag variety.

## Weighted flag varieties

Let  $\Lambda_W^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z})$  be the lattice of one-parameter subgroups of  $G$ . Choose  $\mu \in \Lambda_W^*$  and an integer  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\langle w\lambda, \mu \rangle + u > 0$$

for all elements  $w$  of the Weyl group  $W$  of the Lie group  $G$ , where  $\langle, \rangle$  denotes the perfect pairing between  $\Lambda_W$  and  $\Lambda_W^*$ . We recall the definition of weighted flag variety by Grojnowski and Corti–Reid.

**Definition 2.1** [8] Let  $\Sigma$  be a flag variety. Take the affine cone  $\widetilde{\Sigma} \subset \widetilde{V}_\lambda$  of the embedding  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}V_\lambda$ , then the quotient of  $V_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$  by the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action given by

$$(\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*) \mapsto (v \mapsto \varepsilon^u(\mu(\varepsilon) \circ v))$$

is called weighted flag variety. We also use the term key variety or Format for  $w\Sigma$ . We denote this variety by  $w\Sigma(\mu, u)$  or in short  $w\Sigma$ , if no confusion can arise.

The Hilbert series of a weighted flag variety

$$P_{w\Sigma}(t) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \dim(H^0(w\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(mD))) t^m$$

can be computed by using the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** [17, Thm. 3.1] The Hilbert series of the weighted flag variety  $(w\Sigma(\mu, u), D)$  has the following closed form.

$$P_{w\Sigma}(t) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w \frac{t^{\langle w\rho, \mu \rangle}}{(1 - t^{\langle w\lambda, \mu \rangle + u})}}{\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w t^{\langle w\rho, \mu \rangle}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $\rho$  is the Weyl vector, half the sum of the positive roots of  $G$ , and  $(-1)^w = 1$  or  $-1$  depending on whether  $w$  consists of an even or odd number of simple reflections in the Weyl group  $W$ , and  $D = \mathcal{O}_{w\Sigma}(1)$  under the embedding  $w\Sigma \subset w\mathbb{P}V_\lambda$ .

**Remark 2.2** By the standard Hilbert–Serre theorem [3, Theorem 11.1], the Hilbert series of the weighted flag variety has a reduced expression

$$P_{w\Sigma}(t) = \frac{N(t)}{\prod_{\lambda_i \in \nabla(V_\lambda)} (1 - t^{<\lambda_i, \mu>+u})}. \quad (2.2)$$

The polynomial  $N(t)$  is called the Hilbert numerator of the Hilbert series and contains some information about the free resolution of the graded ring  $R(w\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(mD))$ .

The flag variety  $\Sigma = G/P \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}V_\lambda$  is defined by an ideal  $I = \langle Q \rangle$  of quadratic equations [11, 2.1]. The second symmetric power of the contragradient representation  $V_\lambda^*$  has a decomposition

$$Z = V_{2\nu} \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$$

into irreducible direct summands as a  $G$ -representation, with  $\nu$  being the highest weight of the representation  $V_\lambda^*$ . The generators of the linear subspace  $Q \subset Z = S^2 V_\lambda^*$  consisting of all the summands except  $V_{2\nu}$ , gives the defining equations of the flag variety. The equations of  $w\Sigma$  can be readily computed from this information using computer algebra [17].

## Constructing polarized varieties

We start by constructing some key variety  $w\Sigma$  (weighted flag variety) embedded into some weighted projective space. Then we find the Hilbert series of the given variety, which gives us some information about the graded ring  $R(w\Sigma, D)$ . Under suitable conditions, we can compute the canonical divisor class of  $w\Sigma$ . Then we take quasilinear sections (general hypersurfaces of appropriate degree in weighted projective space) of  $w\Sigma$  or of projective cones over it, to get a variety with the desired canonical or anticanonical class. Then we study different aspects, such as singularities, well-formedness and quasi-smoothness of the resulting variety to establish the existence of an appropriate model of the variety. At the end, using the orbifold Riemann–Roch formula of [7, Section 3], we compute the invariants of our polarized variety  $(X, D)$  from the first few values of  $h^0(nD)$ , and verify that the same Hilbert series can be recovered. More details can be found in [17].

## 3 Weighted Lagrangian Grassmannian $w\text{LGr}(3,6)$ varieties

### 3.1 Generalities

The symplectic Lie group  $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$  is the group of automorphisms  $A$  of  $\mathbb{C}^6$  preserving a nondegenerate, skew-symmetric, bilinear form called the symplectic form  $J$ , that is

$$J(Av, Aw) = J(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V.$$

By using a change of basis,  $J$  has the normal form:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The symplectic group has the embedding in  $\mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C}) = \{M \in \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{C}) : M^t J M = J\}.$$

Let  $G$  be the symplectic Lie group  $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$  with maximal torus  $T$ . The weight lattice  $\Lambda_W$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$  is a rank 3 lattice  $\Lambda_W = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ . The simple roots in the weight lattice of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_6$  are

$$\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3, \text{ and } \alpha_3 = 2e_3.$$

The dominant fundamental weights of  $\mathrm{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$  are given by  $\omega_i = e_1 + \dots + e_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . The sum of the fundamental weights, also known as Weyl vector, is given by  $\rho = 3e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3$ .

Consider a  $G$ -representation  $V = \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}^6$ ; the third exterior power of the standard representation  $\mathbb{C}^6$ , which is 20 dimensional. Then we have a natural contraction map  $\kappa : \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^6$ , obtained by contracting with elements of  $\bigwedge^2 (\mathbb{C}^6)^*$ . The map  $\kappa$  decomposing  $V$  into its summands  $V = V_\lambda \oplus V_1$ , the kernel of  $\kappa$  is an irreducible representation  $V_\lambda$  of  $G$  with highest weight  $\lambda = \omega_3 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ . Then the Weyl dimension formula tells us that  $V_\lambda$  is 14 dimensional. All the fourteen weights of  $V_\lambda$  appear with multiplicity one. If  $\nabla_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$ , then the corresponding parabolic subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{p}_\lambda = \bigoplus \left( \mathfrak{t} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \nabla_+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \nabla_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \right)$$

is  $3+9+3 = 15$  dimensional. Thus the corresponding flag variety  $\Sigma = G/P_\lambda$  is six dimensional:

$$\dim(\Sigma) = \dim(\mathfrak{sp}_6) - \dim(\mathfrak{p}_\lambda) = 21 - 15 = 6.$$

This flag variety is known as the Lagrangian Grassmannian  $\mathrm{LGr}(3, 6)$  of Lagrangian subspaces in  $\mathbb{C}^6$  ([10]) and also as the *symplectic Grassmannian* ([15]). Obviously we have a codimension seven embedding  $\mathrm{LGr}(3, 6) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{13} V_\lambda$ .

### 3.2 The weighted flag variety

The weighted flag variety  $w\mathrm{LGr}(3, 6)$  can be constructed by letting  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  be the basis of the dual lattice  $\Lambda_W^*$ , dual to  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$ . Then for  $\mu = a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3 \in \Lambda_W^*$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ , to get the weighted version of  $\mathrm{LGr}(3, 6)$ :

$$w\Sigma(\mu, u) = w\mathrm{LGr}(3, 6) \hookrightarrow w\mathbb{P}^{13}.$$

The set of weights on weighted projective space  $w\mathbb{P}^{13}$  is  $\{< \lambda_i, \mu > + u\}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the weights of the representation  $V_\lambda$ . We denote an element  $\mu$  of the dual lattice  $\Lambda_W^*$  by a vector of integers, i.e.  $\mu = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ .

### 3.3 Hilbert Series of $w\text{LGr}(3,6)$

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $S_2$  be the symmetric group on 2 elements and  $\sigma(a) = a$  if  $\sigma$  is even and  $\sigma(a) = -a$  if  $\sigma$  is odd permutation. Then the Hilbert series of the  $w\text{LGr}(3,6)$  has the compact form

$$P_{w\text{LGr}(3,6)}(t) = \frac{1 - P_1(t)(t^{2u} - t^{9u}) + P_2(t)(t^{3u} - t^{7u}) - P_3(t)(t^{4u} - t^{6u}) - t^{10u}}{\prod_{\lambda_i \in \nabla(V_\lambda)} (1 - t^{\langle \lambda_i, \mu \rangle + u})}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(t) &= \sum_{1 \leq (i,j) \leq 3} t^{a_i - a_j} + \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} t^{\sigma(a_i + a_j)}, \\ P_2(t) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (t^{\sigma(2a_i + a_j)} + t^{\sigma(2a_i - a_j)} + t^{\sigma(a_i + 2a_j)} + t^{\sigma(a_i - 2a_j)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(t^{\sigma(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} + t^{\sigma(a_1 + a_2 - a_3)} + t^{\sigma(a_1 - a_2 - a_3)} + t^{\sigma(a_1 - a_2 + a_3)}) + 4 \sum_{i=1}^3 t^{\sigma(a_i)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_3(t) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 t^{2\sigma(a_i)} + 3 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (t^{\sigma(a_i - a_j)} + t^{\sigma(a_i + a_j)}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} (t^{\sigma(a_1 + 2a_2)} + t^{\sigma(2a_1 + a_2)} + t^{\sigma(a_1 - 2a_2)} + t^{\sigma(2a_1 - a_2)}) \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} t^{\sigma(a_3)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} (t^{\sigma(a_1 + a_2)} + t^{\sigma(a_1 - a_2)}) \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} t^{2\sigma(a_3)} + 4 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $w\text{LGr}(3,6)$  is well-formed then the canonical line bundle  $K_{w\text{LGr}(3,6)} = \mathcal{O}_{w\text{LGr}(3,6)}(-4u)$ .

**Proof** The Weyl group  $W$  of the symplectic Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_6$  is the semidirect product of the symmetric group  $S_3$  with 3 copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ;

$$W = S_3 \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3.$$

Using representation theory we work out that eight of the fourteen weights appear as the image of  $\lambda$  under the action of the Weyl group  $W$ . Each of the eight weights appears with multiplicity six in the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the  $W$ -action, accounting for the 48 elements of the Weyl group  $W$ . After performing some simplifications we get the following form of the Hilbert series of  $w\text{LGr}(3,6)$ .

$$P_{w\text{LGr}(3,6)}(t) = \frac{1 + \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} t^{\sigma(a_i)} (t^u - t^{3u}) - t^{4u}}{\prod_{\lambda_i \in W\lambda} (1 - t^{\langle \lambda_i, \mu \rangle + u})}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $W\lambda$  is the orbit of  $\lambda$  under  $W$ -action. As the weights  $a_i + u, -a_i + u$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  do not appear in the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the  $W$ -action, we multiply and divide the expression (3.2) with

$$P_L(t) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 3} (1 - t^{a_i+u}) (1 - t^{-a_i+u}),$$

to get the full expression for the Hilbert series of  $w \text{LGr}(3, 6)$ . After performing some simplifications we get the required compact form (3.1). As the adjunction number of  $w \text{LGr}(3, 6)$  is  $10u$  and the sum of weights on  $w\mathbb{P}V_\lambda$  is  $14u$ ; the canonical divisor is given by

$$K_{w \text{LGr}(3,6)} = \mathcal{O}_{w \text{LGr}(3,6)}(10u - 14u) = \mathcal{O}_{w \text{LGr}(3,6)}(-4u). \square$$

### 3.4 Examples

**Example 3.5** Consider the Hilbert series of the straight flag variety  $\text{LGr}(3, 6)$ , which corresponds to  $u = 1$  and  $\mu = \underline{0}$ . Then we have

$$P_{\text{LGr}(3,6)}(t) = \frac{1 - 21t^2 + 64t^3 - 70t^4 + 70t^6 - 64t^7 + 21t^8 - t^{10}}{(1 - t)^{14}}.$$

Since  $\text{LGr}(3, 6)$  is a 6-dimensional well-formed and smooth variety, we can compute the canonical bundle  $K_{\text{LGr}(3,6)}$  to be  $\mathcal{O}(-4)$ . Let  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  be three general hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^{13}$  then we get a three dimensional variety

$$V = \text{LGr}(3, 6) \cap H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$$

with  $K_V = \mathcal{O}_V(-1)$ . Thus  $V$  is a Fano 3-fold of genus 9, anti-canonically polarized by  $K_V$  where  $(-K_V)^3 = 16$ . This variety was constructed by Mukai by using the vector bundle method in [14].

**Remark 3.6** We searched for more families of terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds but we did not manage to find a new family in codimension 7. A list of 303 such  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with terminal singularities can be found on Gavin Brown's graded ring data base page [4].

**Example 3.7** Consider the following initial data

- Input:  $\mu = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $u = 2$
- Variety and weights:  $w \text{LGr}(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}[1^5, 2^4, 3^5]$ , with weights assigned to the variables  $x_i$  in the equations given in appendix A on Page 16.

Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_9$	$x_{10}$	$x_{11}$	$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{14}$
Weight	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1

- Canonical class:  $K_{w \text{LGr}(3,6)} = \mathcal{O}(-8)$



- Hilbert Numerator:  $1 - t^2 - 4t^3 - 7t^4 + 12t^5 + \dots - 12t^{15} + 7t^{16} + 4t^{17} + t^{18} - t^{20}$

We take a threefold quasilinear section

$$X = w \operatorname{LGr}(3, 6) \cap (3)^2 \cap (2) \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}[1^5, 2^3, 3^3],$$

then

$$K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-8 + (2 \times 3 + 2)) \sim 0.$$

By using the equations, we can check that  $X$  does not contain any codimension 8 singular strata. Therefore  $X$  is a well-formed polarized weighted projective threefold. We work out the singularities of  $X$  induced by the weights of  $w\mathbb{P}^{10}$ . To make a choice of coordinates we suppose

$$\{x_3 = f_3(x_i), x_4 = g_3(x_i), x_5 = f_2(x_i)\}.$$

Here  $f_3, g_3$  and  $f_2$  are general homogeneous equations of degree 3, 3 and 2 respectively in the rest of the variables. Now we check the singularities of  $X$  on each of the singular strata and find the local transverse structure of these singularities.

**1/3 singularities** The defining locus  $C$  of this singular stratum is defined by taking

$$C = X \cap \{x_7 = x_8 = x_9 = x_{10} = x_{11} = x_{12} = x_{13} = x_{14} = 0\}.$$

Therefore

$$C := \left\{ \frac{f_3^2}{4} - g_3x_2 + x_1x_6 = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2[x_1, x_2, x_6].$$

This defines a quadratic curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . On each point of the curve one of the  $x_i \neq 0$ . If we consider  $x_1 \neq 0$ , then by using the implicit function theorem we can eliminate the variables  $x_6, x_8, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}$  and  $x_{14}$  from equation number (A1), (A2), (A4), (A3), (A5), (A6) and (A7) respectively appearing in appendix A on page 16. Therefore  $x_7$  and  $x_9$  are local variables near this point and the group  $\mu_3$  acts by

$$\varepsilon : x_7, x_9 \mapsto \varepsilon^2 x_7, \varepsilon x_9.$$

For  $x_2 \neq 0$ , we can eliminate the variables  $x_7, x_9, x_{10}, x_{12}, x_{13}$  and  $x_{14}$  from equation number (A2), (A3), (A8), (A9), (A10) and (A11) respectively. If we suppose that  $g_3$  contains the monomial  $x_6$ , then we eliminate  $x_6$  from equation (1) as well. Therefore  $x_8$  and  $x_{11}$  are the local variables on this point of the curve and the group  $\mu_3$  acts by

$$\varepsilon : x_8, x_{11} \mapsto \varepsilon^2 x_8, \varepsilon x_{11}.$$

Similarly, near the point  $x_6 \neq 0$  we work out that  $x_{10}$  and  $x_{14}$  are local variables and the group  $\mu_3$  acts by

$$\varepsilon : x_{10}, x_{14} \mapsto \varepsilon^2 x_{10}, \varepsilon x_{14}.$$

Therefore  $C$  is a rational curve of singularities of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2)$ .

**1/2 singularities:** This singular locus is defined by restricting  $X$  to the locus defined by

$x_7, x_8$  and  $x_{10}$ . The equation (A6) contains the monomial  $x_7^2$  and no term involving  $x_8$  and  $x_{10}$ , the equation (A11) contains a monomial  $x_8^2$  and no term involving  $x_7$  and  $x_{10}$  and equation (A19) contains a monomial  $x_{10}^2$  and no term involving  $x_7$  and  $x_8$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $X$  has no singularities along the singular stratum defined by weight 2 variables.

**Quasismooth:** As we have shown, on  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  strata that  $X$  is locally a threefold by using the implicit function theorem. Similarly we can show that on the rest of the strata that  $X$  is locally a threefold.

Thus  $(X, D)$  is a polarized Calabi–Yau threefold with a rational curve  $C$  of singularities of type  $A_2$ . The rest of the invariants of this family, computed by using the orbifold Riemann–Roch formula of [7, Section 3] for Calabi–Yau threefolds are given as follows.

- $D^3 = \frac{64}{9}$ ,  $D \cdot c_2(X) = 48$ ,  $\deg D|_C = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\gamma_C = -2$

## 4 Weighted $A_3$ type partial flag variety

### 4.1 Construction of weighted $\text{FL}_{1,3}$ variety

Let  $G$  be the reductive Lie group  $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$  with corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_4$ , known as  $A_3$ -type Lie algebra. The rank of the maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  is 4, which corresponds to the maximal torus  $T$  inside  $G$ . The weight lattice of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_4$  is a rank 4 lattice  $\Lambda_W = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ . The set of simple roots of the root system of the corresponding simple part of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is

$$\alpha_i = e_i - e_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

The Weyl group  $W$  of  $G$  is  $S_4$ , the symmetric group on 4 letters, of order 24. The Weyl vector can be taken to be  $\rho = 3e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3$ .

Consider two 4 dimensional representations of  $G$ ;  $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^4$  which is the standard representation of  $G$  and the representation  $V_2 = \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}^4$ . They are irreducible representations of  $G$  with highest weights  $\lambda_1 = e_1$  and  $\lambda_2 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ . Then the representation  $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$  is a 16 dimensional representation of  $G$  which is not irreducible. Consider the wedge product map

$$\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}^4 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^4 \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Then the kernel  $\kappa(S)$  of the map, is the irreducible highest weight representation of  $G$  with highest weight

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 2e_1 + e_2 + e_3.$$

By using the Weyl dimension formula we can show that  $V_\lambda$  is 15 dimensional. Twelve of the weights of  $V_\lambda$  appear with multiplicity one and one with multiplicity three. The

dimension of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_4$  is 16. The only simple root orthogonal to the highest weight  $\lambda$  under in the weight lattice is  $\alpha_2$ . Therefore, the parabolic subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{p}_\lambda = \bigoplus \left( \mathfrak{t} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \nabla_+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_2} \right),$$

is  $4+6+1=11$  dimensional. Hence the corresponding flag variety  $\Sigma = G/P_\lambda$  is five dimensional and we get a codimension 9 embedding  $\Sigma^5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{14}[V_\lambda]$ . In the notation of Section 2, we have a dimension vector  $I = (1, 3, 4)$ . The reductive Lie group  $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$  parameterizes the flags of type

$$\{0 \subset V_1 \subset V_3 \subset V_4\}.$$

We will denote this partial flag variety by  $\mathrm{FL}_{1,3}$ . To obtain the weighted version of  $\mathrm{FL}_{1,3}$ , we let  $\Lambda_W^* = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \rangle$  to be the dual lattice of the weight lattice. Then for any

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i f_i \in \Lambda_W^* \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{Z}$$

we get the embedding

$$w \mathrm{FL}_{1,3}(\mu, u) \hookrightarrow w \mathbb{P} V_\lambda[\langle \lambda_i, \mu \rangle + u], \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the weights of the representation  $V_\lambda$  understood with multiplicities. Following the convention of the Section 3.2, element  $\mu$  of the dual lattice  $\Lambda_W^*$  is represented by  $\mu = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ . Here we can choose all  $a_i$ s to be the half or quarter integers to get the embedding (4.1) but we can get the embedding with same set of weights by changing the value of  $u$ , so we only take them to be integers.

## 4.2 Hilbert series of weighted $\mathrm{FL}_{1,3}$

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $s$  be the sum of the integers in  $\mu = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ . Then the Hilbert series of the weighted flag variety  $w \mathrm{FL}_{1,3}$  has the following compact form.

$$P_{w \mathrm{FL}_{1,3}}(t) = \frac{1 + \sum_{k=1}^4 (-1)^k P_k(t) t^{ks+(k+1)u} + \sum_{k=5}^8 (-1)^k P_k(t) t^{(k+1)s+(k+2)u} + t^{12(s+u)}}{\prod_{w_i \in \nabla(V_\lambda)} (1 - t^{\langle w_i, \mu \rangle + u})}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(t) &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} t^{2(a_i+a_j)} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq (i,j) \leq 4} (t^{s+a_i-a_j} - t^s), \\ P_2(t) &= 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} t^{2(a_i+a_j)} + 8 \sum_{1 \leq (i,j) \leq 4} t^{(a_i-a_j)+s} + \\ &\quad \sum_{1 \leq (i,j,i \neq j) \leq 4} (t^{2s-(3a_i+a_j)} + t^{3a_i+a_j}) - 16t^s, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3(t) &= 6 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} t^{2(a_i + a_j)} + 14 \sum_{1 \leq (i,j) \leq 4} t^{(a_i - a_j) + s} \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq (i,j,i \neq j) \leq 4} (3t^{2s - (3a_i + a_j)} + 3t^{3a_i + a_j} + t^{2(a_i - a_j)}) - 29t^s, \\
P_4(t) &= 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} t^{2(a_i + a_j)} + 12 \sum_{1 \leq (i,j) \leq 4} t^{(a_i - a_j) + s} \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq (i,j,i \neq j) \leq 4} (3t^{2s - (3a_i + a_j)} + 3t^{3a_i + a_j} + 2t^{2(a_i - a_j) + s}) - 24t^s.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$  is well-formed then

$$K_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}}(-3(s+u)).$$

**Proof** The Weyl group  $W$  of the symmetric group  $S_4$ . By using representation theory we work out that twelve of the fifteen weights are in the orbit of  $\lambda$  under the action of the Weyl group. To compute the Hilbert series of  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ , we evaluate the expression (2.1) for  $W, \rho, \mu$  and  $\lambda$  as described in Section 4.1. After some simplification we get the following form of the Hilbert series of the weighted flag variety  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ .

$$P_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}}(t) = \frac{1 + 3t^{s+u} - \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} t^{2(a_i + a_j)} + \sum_{1 \leq (i,j,i \neq j) \leq 4} 2t^{s+a_i-a_j} \right) t^{s+2u} + \dots + t^{9(s+u)}}{\prod_{\lambda_i \in W\lambda} 1 - t^{\langle \lambda_i, \mu \rangle + u}}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $s = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i$ , and  $W\lambda$  denote the orbit of  $\lambda$  under  $W$ -action. The full expression for the Hilbert series of  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$  is obtained by multiplying and dividing (4.3) by  $(1 - t^{s+u})^3$ , which represent those weight spaces of  $\mathbb{P}^{14}[\langle \lambda_i, \mu \rangle + u]$  which do not lie in the orbit of  $W$ -action. After further simplifying we get the required compact form (4.2) of the Hilbert series of  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ . The adjunction number is  $12(s+u)$  and the sum of the weights on  $w\mathbb{P}V_\lambda$  is  $15(s+u)$ , thus  $K_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}}(-3(s+u))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.3** Due to the Gorenstein symmetry of the resolution of the graded ring corresponding to  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ , we have

$$P_i(t) = P_{c-i}(t), \text{ where } c = \text{codim}(w\text{FL}_{1,3}), i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

The 36 defining quadrics are visible in the Hilbert numerator of the Hilbert series of  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$  from  $P_1(t)$ .

## 4.4 Examples

**Example 4.5** First we consider the case of the straight partial flag variety  $\text{FL}_{13}$ . We evaluate the expression (4.2) for  $\mu = \underline{0}$  and  $u = 1$  to get the Hilbert series of the straight  $A_3$  flag variety  $\text{FL}_{1,3}$ ;

$$P_{\text{FL}_{1,3}}(t) = \frac{1 - 36t^2 + 160t^3 - 315t^4 + 288t^5 - 288t^7 + 315t^8 - 160t^9 + 36t^{10} - t^{12}}{(1-t)^{15}}.$$

The canonical divisor class of  $\text{FL}_{1,3}$  can be read off from the Hilbert series, which is  $K_{\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{FL}_{1,3}}(-3)$ . Then the intersection of  $\text{FL}_{1,3}$  with two general hyperplanes

$$V = \text{FL}_{1,3} \cap H_1 \cap H_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{12}$$

is a non-prime Fano 3-fold, polarized by its anti-canonical class  $-K_V$ . The degree of the embedding is given by  $(-K_V)^3 = 20$  and by using  $(-K_V)^3 = 2g - 2$  it is evident that the genus of  $V$  is 11. This variety is listed in [13] as non-prime Fano threefold. The non-primeness also follows from the fact that  $\text{Pic}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , by using standard theory [6, Sec 6.3]. Our description of this variety as a linear section of a partial flag variety does not seem to appear in any of Mukai's articles.

**Remark 4.6** We searched for more families of terminal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano threefolds but like previous attempts we did not manage to find a new family in codimension 9. A list of all possible 93  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds with terminal singularities can be found on Gavin Brown's graded ring data base page [4].

**Example 4.7** Consider the following initial data

- Input:  $\mu = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ ,  $u = 0$
- Variety and weights:  $w\text{FL}_{1,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}[1^4, 2^7, 3^4]$ , with weights assigned to the variables  $x_i$  in the equations given in appendix B.

Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_9$	$x_{10}$	$x_{11}$	$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{14}$	$x_{15}$
Weight	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	3

- Canonical class:  $K_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}(-6)$
- Hilbert Numerator:  $1 - t^2 - 8t^3 - 10t^4 + 32t^5 + \dots - 32t^{19} + 10t^{20} + 8t^{21} + t^{22} - t^{24}$

We take a projective cone over  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ , so that we get the embedding

$$\mathcal{C}w\text{FL}_{1,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}[1^5, 2^7, 3^4], \text{ and } K_{\mathcal{C}w\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}(-7).$$

We take a threefold complete intersection

$$X = \mathcal{C}w\text{FL}_{1,3} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3) \subset \mathbb{P}^{12}[1^5, 2^5, 3^3],$$

then  $K_X \sim 0$ . Then  $(X, D)$  is a well-formed and quasismooth Calabi–Yau threefold with a rational curve of singularities of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1, 2)$ . The rest of the invariants of  $(X, D)$  are listed below.

$$\spadesuit \quad D^3 = \frac{76}{9}, \quad D.c_2(X) = 48, \quad \deg D|_C = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \gamma_C = 10$$

**Example 4.8** Initial data

- Input:  $\mu = (0, 1, 1, 1)$ ,  $u = 2$
- Variety and weights:  $w\text{FL}_{1,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}[1^3, 2^9, 3^3]$ , with weights assigned to the variables  $x_i$  in the equations given in Section B.

Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_9$	$x_{10}$	$x_{11}$	$x_{12}$	$x_{13}$	$x_{14}$	$x_{15}$
Weight	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	2	3	3

- Canonical class:  $K_{w\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}(-6)$
- Hilbert Numerator:  $1 - 9t^3 - 15t^4 + 33t^5 + 58t^6 - \dots - 58t^{18} - 33t^{19} + 15t^{20} + 9t^{21} - t^{24}$

We take a projective cone over  $w\text{FL}_{1,3}$ , so that we get the embedding

$$\mathcal{C}w\text{FL}_{1,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}[1^4, 2^9, 3^3], \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\mathcal{C}w\text{FL}_{1,3}} = \mathcal{O}(-7).$$

Consider a threefold quasilinear section

$$X = \mathcal{C}w\text{FL}_{1,3} \cap (2)^2 \cap (3) \subset \mathbb{P}^{12}[1^4, 2^7, 3^2],$$

then the canonical bundle  $K_X \sim 0$ . Then  $(X, D)$  is a well-formed and quasismooth polarized Calabi–Yau threefold with two rational curves of singularities,  $C$  of type  $A_2$  and  $E$  of type  $A_1$ . The rest of the invariants of  $(X, D)$  are listed below.

$$\spadesuit \quad D^3 = \frac{127}{18}, \quad D.c_2(X) = 46, \quad \deg D|_C = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \gamma_C = 8, \quad \deg D|_E = 3, \quad \gamma_E = 1$$

## 5 List of flag varieties in codimension $4 \leq c \leq 10$

Given a reductive Lie group  $G$  there is one-to-one correspondence between flag varieties  $\Sigma = G/P_\lambda$  and irreducible highest weight representations  $V_\lambda$ . We list all highest weights  $\lambda$  which leads to the embedding of  $\Sigma$  in codimension  $4 \leq c \leq 10$ . The list does not qualify to be the complete classification of such flag varieties but one can deduce it to be the one, with a bit more effort. We only list those  $\lambda$  which lead to a distinct embedding of some flag variety  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{P}V_\lambda$ .

We call a flag variety  $\Sigma$  to be *distinct* if

1. it cannot be recovered as a complete linear section of some other flag variety  $\Sigma_1 = G_1/P_{\lambda_1}$ ;
2. it is not isomorphic to some other flag variety  $\Sigma_1 = G_1/P_{\lambda_1}$ .

For example the Lagrangian Grassmannian  $\text{LGr}(2, 6)$  is a hyperplane section of the the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$  in codimension 6. Thus we will only consider  $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$  as a variety in codimension 6. We briefly discuss the possibilities in each codimension  $c$ , for  $4 \leq c \leq 10$ .

- $c = 4$ . We have a flag variety of the Lie group  $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  with highest weight  $\lambda = 2e_1 + e_2$ . The corresponding fixed flag parameterised by  $G$  is  $\{0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3\}$ . Thus we have a complete flag variety  $\text{FL}_{1,2}$ .
- $c = 5$ . For  $G = \text{SO}(10, \mathbb{C})$  we have a codimension five embedding of

$$\text{OGr}(5, 10) \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}(S^+)$$

for highest weight  $\omega_5$ , discussed in [8]. For  $G = \text{SO}(9, \mathbb{C})$  we have a codimension five homogeneous variety  $\text{OGr}(4, 9)$  corresponding to highest weight  $\omega_4$  which is isomorphic to  $\text{OGr}(5, 10)$ .

- $c = 6$ . For  $G = \text{GL}(6, \mathbb{C})$  and highest weight  $\omega_2$  we get a codimension 6 embedding of the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(2, 6) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{14}$ , discussed in [17]. For the symplectic Lie group  $\text{Sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$  we have a codimension six embedding of the isotropic Lagrangian Grassmannian  $\text{LGr}(2, 6) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{13}$  for the highest weight  $\omega_2$ , which is just a general linear section of  $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$ .
- $c = 7$ . There is only one case which we discussed above in Section 3.
- $c = 8$ . For  $G = G_2$  we have a codimension 8 embedding  $\Sigma^5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{13}$  corresponding to the highest weight  $\omega_2$ , which is already discussed in [17].
- $c = 9$ . The only case is discussed in the Section 4 above.
- $c = 10$ . In this case we get three distinct homogeneous varieties.

1. Let  $G$  be the simple Lie group of type  $E_6$  and  $V_\lambda$  be the highest weight representation of  $G$  with  $\lambda = \omega_1$  or  $\omega_6$ . Then the corresponding homogeneous variety  $\Sigma$  has a codimension 10 embedding  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{26}V_\lambda$ . The  $F_4$  homogeneous variety corresponding to the highest weight representation  $V_\lambda$  with  $\lambda = e_1$ , discussed in [16, Chapter 7], is just a general linear section of this  $E_6$  variety.
2. For  $G = \text{GL}(7, \mathbb{C})$  we have a representation  $\bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^7$ , which leads to the Plücker embedding of Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(2, 7) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{20}$ ; which is a codimension 10 embedding.
3. For  $G = \text{GL}(6, \mathbb{C})$  we have a representation  $\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{C}^6$ , which leads to the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(3, 6) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{19}$ , which is also a codimension 10 embedding.

**Remark 5.1** Computing the Hilbert series and expected syzygies does suggest that  $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$  might be a linear section of  $\text{Gr}(2, 7)$  as they have exactly the same Hilbert numerator, propagating the same number of generators and syzygies in each degree. In fact it is not the case, the  $H^6(\text{Gr}(2, 7))$  is a 2-dimensional and  $H^6(\text{Gr}(3, 6))$  is a 3-dimensional, so by Lefschetz hyperplane section principle  $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$  is not a hyperplane section of  $\text{Gr}(2, 7)$ .

In the following table we list all the distinct flag varieties in codimension  $4 \leq c \leq 10$ . The last column represents the number of defining equations of flag variety  $\Sigma$ .

Table 1: List of flag varieties in codimension  $4 \leq c \leq 10$

$c$	Type of $G$	$\lambda$	Embedding	Number of Eqs
4	$\text{GL}(3)$	$\omega_1 + \omega_2$	$\text{FL}_{1,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^7$	9
5	$\text{SO}(10)$	$\omega_5$	$\text{OGr}(5, 10) \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}$	10
6	$\text{GL}(6)$	$\omega_2$	$\text{Gr}(2, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$	15
7	$\text{Sp}(6)$	$\omega_3$	$\text{LGr}(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$	21
8	$G_2$	$\omega_2$	$\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$	28
9	$\text{GL}(4)$	$\omega_1 + \omega_3$	$\text{FL}_{1,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$	36
10	$E_6$	$\omega_1$	$\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{26}$	27
10	$\text{GL}(7)$	$\omega_2$	$\text{Gr}(2, 7) \subset \mathbb{P}^{20}$	35
10	$\text{GL}(6)$	$\omega_3$	$\text{Gr}(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$	35

## A Equations of the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\text{LGr}(3, 6)$

We compute the defining equations of  $\text{LGr}(3, 6)$  by the GAP4 code given in Appendix of [17]. The code finds the decomposition of the second symmetric power of the dual highest weight representation  $V_\lambda^*$  into its direct summands as a module over the symplectic Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_6$ . The second symmetric power of the dual representation  $V_\lambda^*$  has a decomposition  $S^2 V_\lambda^* = \bigoplus (\bigoplus V_1 \oplus V_e)$  into 84 and 21 dimensional representations. The 21 quadrics generate the 21 dimensional subspace  $V_e$  of  $S^2 V_\lambda^*$  and they are listed as follows.

$$x_1 x_6 - x_2 x_4 + \frac{1}{4} x_3^2 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$x_1 x_8 - x_2 x_7 + \frac{1}{2} x_3 x_5 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$x_1 x_{11} - x_2 x_9 + \frac{1}{4} x_5^2 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$x_1 x_{10} - \frac{1}{2} x_3 x_7 + x_4 x_5 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$x_1 x_{12} - x_3 x_9 + \frac{1}{2} x_5 x_7 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$x_1 x_{13} - x_4 x_9 + \frac{1}{4} x_7^2 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$x_1 x_{14} - \frac{1}{4} x_5 x_{10} + \frac{1}{4} x_7 x_8 - x_6 x_9 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$x_2 x_{10} - \frac{1}{2} x_3 x_8 + x_5 x_6 \quad (\text{A.8})$$



$$x_2x_{12} - x_3x_{11} + \frac{1}{2}x_5x_8 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$x_2x_{13} - \frac{1}{4}x_3x_{12} + \frac{1}{4}x_7x_8 - x_6x_9 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$x_2x_{14} - x_6x_{11} + \frac{1}{4}x_8^2 \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$x_3x_{10} - 2x_4x_8 + 2x_6x_7 \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$x_3x_{12} + x_5x_{10} - 4x_4x_{11} + 4x_6x_9 \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$x_3x_{13} - x_4x_{12} + \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{10} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$x_3x_{14} - x_6x_{12} + \frac{1}{2}x_8x_{10} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$x_5x_{12} - 2x_7x_{11} + 2x_8x_9 \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$x_5x_{13} - \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{12} + x_9x_{10} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$x_5x_{14} - \frac{1}{2}x_8x_{12} + x_{10}x_{11} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$x_4x_{14} - x_6x_{13} + \frac{1}{4}x_{10}^2 \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$x_7x_{14} - x_8x_{13} + \frac{1}{2}x_{10}x_{12} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$x_9x_{14} - x_{11}x_{13} + \frac{1}{4}x_{12}^2 \quad (\text{A.21})$$

## B Equations of partial $A_3$ flag variety $\text{FL}_{1,3}$

Following the description given in [11], we have the following decomposition of the second symmetric power of the contragradient representation  $V_\lambda^*$ .

$$S^2V_\lambda^* = V_1 \oplus V_{e_1} \oplus V_{e_2} \oplus V_{e_3}.$$

The vector spaces  $V_1, V_{e_1}, V_{e_2}$  and  $V_{e_3}$  are 84, 20, 15 and 1 dimensional respectively. The defining equations of  $\text{FL}_{1,3}$ , are the basis of the linear subspaces of dimension 20, 15 and 1 of  $S^2V_\lambda^*$ .

$$I = \langle Q \rangle = \langle V_{e_1} \rangle \cup \langle V_{e_2} \rangle \cup \langle V_{e_3} \rangle \subset S^2V_\lambda^*.$$

We compute these quadratic equations by using the GAP4 code of appendix of [17] and they are listed below.

$$x_1x_6 - x_2x_3 \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$x_1x_9 - x_1x_7 - x_1x_8 - x_3x_4 + x_2x_5 \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$x_1x_{12} - x_3x_7 - x_3x_8 + x_5x_6 \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$x_1x_{11} + x_2x_9 - x_2x_8 - x_4x_6 \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$x_1x_{10} - x_5x_4 \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$x_1x_{14} + x_3x_{10} - x_5x_9 \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$x_1x_{13} - x_2x_{10} + x_4x_7 \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$x_1x_{15} + x_5x_{11} - x_4x_{12} - x_6x_{10} + x_9x_7 + x_9x_8 - x_7x_8 - x_8^2 \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$x_3x_{11} + x_2x_{12} - x_6x_8 \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$x_3x_{14} - x_5x_{12} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_3x_{13} - x_2x_{14} - x_5x_{11} + x_4x_{12} - x_9x_8 + x_7x_8 + x_8^2 & (B.11) \\
& x_3x_{15} - x_6x_{14} + x_7x_{12} & (B.12) \\
& x_2x_{13} - x_4x_{11} & (B.13) \\
& x_2x_{15} + x_6x_{13} - x_9x_{11} & (B.14) \\
& x_5x_{13} + x_4x_{14} - x_8x_{10} & (B.15) \\
& x_5x_{15} + x_9x_{14} - x_8x_{14} - x_{12}x_{10} & (B.16) \\
& x_4x_{15} - x_7x_{13} - x_8x_{13} + x_{11}x_{10} & (B.17) \\
& x_6x_{15} - x_{12}x_{11} & (B.18) \\
& x_9x_{15} - x_7x_{15} - x_8x_{15} - x_{12}x_{13} + x_{11}x_{14} & (B.19) \\
& x_{10}x_{15} - x_{14}x_{13} & (B.20) \\
& x_1x_9 + x_1x_7 - 2x_3x_4 - 2x_2x_5 & (B.21) \\
& x_1x_{12} - \frac{1}{2}x_3x_9 + \frac{1}{2}x_3x_7 - x_5x_6 & (B.22) \\
& x_1x_{11} - \frac{1}{2}x_2x_9 + \frac{1}{2}x_2x_7 + x_4x_6 & (B.23) \\
& x_1x_{14} - x_3x_{10} + \frac{1}{2}x_5x_9 - \frac{1}{2}x_5x_7 - x_5x_8 & (B.24) \\
& x_1x_{13} + x_2x_{10} + \frac{1}{2}x_4x_9 - \frac{1}{2}x_4x_7 - x_4x_8 & (B.25) \\
& x_1x_{15} - x_3x_{13} - x_2x_{14} + x_6x_{10} - \frac{1}{2}x_9x_7 + \frac{1}{2}x_7^2 + x_7x_8 & (B.26) \\
& x_3x_{11} - x_2x_{12} + \frac{1}{2}x_6x_9 + \frac{1}{2}x_6x_7 & (B.27) \\
& x_3x_{13} + x_2x_{14} - x_5x_{11} - x_4x_{12} + \frac{1}{2}x_9^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_9x_8 - \frac{1}{2}x_7^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_7x_8 & (B.28) \\
& x_3x_{15} + x_6x_{14} + \frac{1}{2}x_9x_{12} - \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{12} - x_8x_{12} & (B.29) \\
& x_2x_{15} - x_6x_{13} + \frac{1}{2}x_9x_{11} - \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{11} - x_8x_{11} & (B.30) \\
& x_5x_{11} + x_4x_{12} - \frac{1}{4}x_9^2 + \frac{1}{4}x_7^2 & (B.31) \\
& x_5x_{13} - x_4x_{14} + \frac{1}{2}x_9x_{10} + \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{10} & (B.32) \\
& x_5x_{15} - \frac{1}{2}x_9x_{14} + \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{14} + x_{12}x_{10} & (B.33) \\
& x_4x_{15} - \frac{1}{2}x_9x_{13} + \frac{1}{2}x_7x_{13} - x_{11}x_{10} & (B.34) \\
& x_9x_{15} + x_7x_{15} - 2x_{12}x_{13} - 2x_{11}x_{14} & (B.35) \\
& x_1x_{15} - x_3x_{13} + x_2x_{14} - x_5x_{11} + x_4x_{12} - x_6x_{10} - \frac{3}{8}(x_9^2 + x_7^2) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}x_9x_7 + \frac{1}{2}(x_9x_8 - x_7x_8 - x_8^2) & (B.36)
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] S. Altinok, *Graded rings corresponding to the polarised K3 surfaces and  $\mathbb{Q}$ - Fano 3-folds*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Warwick, 1998.
- [2] S. Altinok, G. Brown, and M. Reid, *Fano 3-folds, K3 surfaces and graded rings*, Topology and geometry: commemorating SISTAG (A. J. Berrick, M.C. Lenug, and X. Xu, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 314, AMS, Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 25–53.

- [3] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969.
- [4] G. Brown, *Graded ring database, available at*, <http://grdb.lboro.ac.uk/>.
- [5] Gavin Brown, Michael Kerber, and Miles Reid, *Fano 3-folds in codimension 4, Tom and Jerry. I.*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 4, 1171–1194.
- [6] D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3*, Amer. J. Math **99** (1977), 447–485.
- [7] A. Buckley and B. Szendrői, *Orbifold Riemann-Roch for threefolds with an application to Calabi-Yau geometry*, J. Algebraic Geom. **14** (2005), no. 4, 601–622.
- [8] A. Corti and M. Reid, *Weighted Grassmannians*, Algebraic geometry (M. C. Beltrametti, F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto, A. Lanteri, and C. Pedrini, eds.), de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002, pp. 141–163.
- [9] W. A. de Graaf, *Constructing representations of split semisimple Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **164** (2001), no. 1-2, 87–107, Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Bath, 2000).
- [10] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory, a first course*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, 129, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [11] A. L. Gorodentsev, A. S. Khoroshkin, and A. N. Rudakov, *On syzygies of highest weight orbits*, Moscow Seminar on Mathematical Physics. II (V. I. Arnold, D.G. Gindikin, and V. P. Maslov, eds.), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 221, AMS, Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 79–120.
- [12] A. R. Iano-Fletcher, *Working with weighted complete intersections*, Explicit Birational Geometry of 3-folds, vol. 281, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser, CUP, 2000, pp. 101–173.
- [13] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with  $B_2 \geq 2$* , Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981/82), no. 2, 147–162.
- [14] S. Mukai, *Curves, K3 surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus  $\leq 10$* , Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, Kinokuniya, 1988, pp. 357–377.
- [15] ———, *Curves and symmetric spaces. II*, Ann. of Math (2) **169** (2010), no. 3, 1539–1558.
- [16] M. I. Qureshi, *Families of polarized varieties in weighted flag varieties*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford, 2011.

- [17] M. I. Qureshi and B. Szendrői, *Contracting projective varieties in weighted flag varieties*, Bull. Lon. Math Soc. **43** (2011), no. 2, 786–798.
- [18] ———, *Calabi–Yau 3-folds in weighted flag varieties*, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2012** (2012), 14pp.
- [19] M. Reid, *Young person’s guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 345–414.

LUMS SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
 U-BLOCK, DHA, LAHORE, PAKISTAN.

*Email address:* `i.qureshi@maths.oxon.org`